Fo 91-301

KISSINGER & FELLMAN, P.C. 3773 Cherry Creek North Drive Ptarmigan Place, Suite 900 Denver, Colorado 80209 (303) 320-6100 FAX: (303) 320-6613

vec.

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

عدد عدد

FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION COVER PAGE

NOV -3 1958

FOR THE STATE OF THE STATE OF

TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES INCLUDING COVER PAGE: _5_

DATE:

November 2, 1998

TIME:

PLEASE DELIVER THE FOLLOWING PAGE(S) TO:

TO:

Commissioner Furchtgott-Roth

(202) 418-2802

Commissioner Ness

(202) 418-2821

Commissioner Powell

(202) 418-2820

Commissioner Tristani

(202) 418-7542

FROM:

Kenneth S. Fellman, Esq.

RE:

FCC Local and State Government Advisory Committee

If you did not receive all pages, please call Beth Ann at (303) 320-6100.

COMMENTS:

The information contained in this facsimile message is attorney privileged and confidential information intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copy of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify us by telephone and return the original message to the above address via the U.S. Postal Service. Thank you.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION LOCAL AND STATE GOVERNMENT ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Reply to: Kenneth S. Fellman, Esq. Kiesinger & Follman, P.C.

3773 Charry Cresk North Drive, Suite 900

Denver, Colorado 80209

(303) 320-6100 Telephone; (303) 320-6613 Facsimile

November 2, 1998

Ken Fellman, Chair City Council Mombor at Large Arvada, Colorado

Marilyn Praisner, Vice-Chair Moragomery County Countil Rockville, Maryland

Victor Ashe, Mayor Knoxville, Tennesses

Bill Campbell, Mayor Atlanta, Georgia

Michael Guido, Mayor Dearborn, Michigan

Randy Johnson, Commissioner Hernepin County Minnespolls, Minnesota

Myra Jones, State Representative Little Rock, Arkaneae

Timoday M. Kaine, Council Measter Richmond, Virginia

Darryi T. Owens, Commissioner Jefferson County Louisville, Kentucky

Mary Poss, Mayor Pro Tera City Council Member, District 9 Dallas, Texas

Louise H. Ronne, City Attorney San Francisco, California

Patrick Spears, President Intertribal Council on Utility Policy Fort Pierre, South Dakota

David A. Svands. Commissioner Public Service Commission Lansing, Michigan

Fran Ulmer, Lieutenant Governor Juneau, Alaska VIA FACSIMILE (202-418-2801) and U.S. MAIL

Honorable William E. Kennard, Chairman Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: Local and State Government Advisory Committee / Advisory
Recommendation No. 12 / 91-301 and 91-171

Dear Chairman Kennard:

After careful consideration at its July and September meetings, the Local and State Government Committee has adopted Advisory Recommendation No. 12 concerning Emergency Alert Systems. The LSGAC appreciates the Commission's careful consideration of this recommendation. We would be happy to discus it with you, any of the other Commissioners, and any members of your respective staffs if you believe it would be helpful.

Again, thank you for your consideration.

Kenneth S. Fellman

Chairman, LSGAC

Very truly you

Honorable William E. Kennard, Chairman November 2, 1998 Page 2

KSF/eaj Enclosure

cc:

Commissioner Susan Ness (via facsimile)

Commissioner Harold Furchtgott-Roth (via facsimile)

Commissioner Gloria Tristani (via facsimile)
Commissioner Michael Powell (via facsimile)
LSGAC Members and Staff (via facsimile)

Roz Allen (via facsimile) Susan Fox, Esq. (via facsimile)

Henry L. Baumann, NAB (via facsimile)

FCC Local and State Government Advisory Committee

Advisory Recommendation Number 12

Notice of Proposed Rulemaking and Second Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking

Docket No.: 91-301 and 91-171

Emergency Alert Systems

Re: Cases FO 91-171: FO 91-301-Emergency Alert Systems

At its meetings on July 24 and September 25, 1998, The Local and State Government Advisory Council ("LSGAC") considered the issues raised in the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking and Second Notice of Proposed Rulemaking FO Docket Numbers 91-171 and 91-301. The proposed amendments seek to amend the Emergency Alert System regulations to allow broadcasters to carry emergency alert warnings by state and local governments on a voluntary, rather than mandatory basis. For the following reasons, the LSGAC suggests that the Federal Communications Commission abandon the approach suggested in the proposed rulemaking.

- In crisis situations, it is critical for the government to be able to speak with one voice. The directions and message from the government must be clear and unequivocal. The government should have the prerogative of dispensing the information in the way it believes most appropriate for the management of emergency situations. By allowing the proposed amendment, the broadcasters are, in effect, asking to be able to interpret and analyze the government's message to the public without the public hearing the actual message. Such a system can only result in confusion. The message cannot be diluted in times of crisis. It is the duty and obligation of the government to minimize confusion in emergency situations in order for the public to be properly protected from natural or man made threats.
- 2. In reviewing the National Association of Broadcaster's position, there is the assumption that creating its own broadcast about an emergency situation without broadcasting the government's alert, would result in "better" news. The choice of pictures and background is unimportant to the purpose of an emergency broadcast. The message from the government is important, not how the broadcasters dress it up. The present system allows the broadcasters to analyze and interpret the government's emergency alert message, as long as that message is broadcast. Therefore, there is nothing preventing the broadcasters from delivering the glossy message that is discussed in their filings.
- 3. The present emergency alert system allows for messages for two minutes in duration from local governments. The regulations do not provide for an extended, unilateral takeover of a broadcast, preempting programming for an entire day or evening. Two minute messages are not unreasonable impositions on broadcasters, considering the importance of the public hearing the message.
- 4. It is of utmost importance for a local government to be able to reach a large group of people as quickly as possible in times of emergency. The present system, which allows interruption of all broadcast stations, is designed to accomplish this goal. Allowing broadcasters not to broadcast directions and information will result in a dilution of the government's ability to circulate public safety bulletins to the public.

FCC Local and State Government Advisory Committee

Advisory Recommendation Number 12

Notice of Proposed Rulemaking and Second Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking

Docket No.: 91-301 and 91-171

Emergency Alert Systems

Re: Cases FO 91-171: FO 91-301-Emergency Alert Systems

- 5. In effect, the change in the rule would preempt local government by allowing broadcasters to determine what message is important and how that message should be broadcast. The broadcasters do not have the background and training to manage emergency situations dealing with large groups of people in times of crisis. That is the function of the trained emergency officials in the government. The government, by our laws and throughout history, has been charged with maintaining the health and safety of the public during emergency situations. Allowing the proposed amendment may result in emergency situations intensifying in danger because of a broadcaster's failure to take appropriate steps to provide directions to the public. The emergency alert system serves a truly local function in that it enables immediate broadcast of local emergency situations, such as floods, chemical spills, auto accidents resulting in road closures and utility problems.
- 6. In many areas, the broadcast market includes a large metropolitan area which may comprise many smaller units of local government. Cable franchising authorities in these communities must be able to require in their franchises, the ability to override the cable system to deliver emergency messages to local residents. A fire, flood or chemical spill in a very small community whose population may only be a tiny percentage of the total broadcast market, might not receive adequate coverage from the broadcast networks concerning that local emergency. The individual franchising authority is in the best position to decide what emergency message to convey. It's ability to override its local cable system to convey that message allows for the quickest and most effective way to get that message to its citizens. Preempting a local franchising authority's ability to direct emergency messages in this fashion will have a detrimental effect on local government's ability to address public safety issues.

RECOMMENDATION:

Based upon the foregoing, the LSGAC respectfully recommends that the Federal Communications Commission should reject the proposed rulemaking with regard to the emergency alert system.

Adopted by the LSGAC on October 30, 1998.

Kenneth S. Fellman Chairman, LSGAC